

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Gatwick Airport](#)  
**Subject:** Gatwick 2nd Runway consultation comments  
**Date:** 29 October 2023 23:14:36

---

It seems to be very difficult to register in time to give comments officially on the second runway consultation, but here are my comments in any event:

To increase airport capacity, especially in the already overcrowded south east of England is not the right thing to do given our commitments to net zero.

Our locality is already suffering disturbing effects of climate change, particularly affecting the south of England, to a far greater degree than anybody imagine would happen so soon.

It would be utterly wrong to allow more aviation travel. Gatwick is predominantly holidays, it's not business, and we will have to wean ourselves off cheap and frequent foreign travel via airlines.

The industry has made in Normas amount of money recently with all the ups and downs of the Covid years and recovery. E.g. just look at the amount of money British Airways has made and yet we are claiming help from the government during Covid.

The jobs at Gatwick Airport are very low grade and not helpful to the local economy at all. Everybody seems to drive to get to the airport, the trains are a main computer line to London and are absolutely ridiculously packed. It is not a great line anyway the train splits and all that out with passengers are completely confused at the same time as Southern another railways are trying to remove the number of staff who might be able to help them.

We are already beginning to suffer from pollution in the same way. As part of Greater London we want green sustainable jobs, not dirty old industry expansion.

Increase in the ease for more "local" "people can fly abroad will not help the local economy at all.

Similarly nearly all foreigners arriving at Gatwick head straight to London.

Our region is merely being exploited, yet again, due to its location, and the fact that it had a small airport here, originally, which has expanded and expanded, very often in a way in which staff of a lasting to be invested in the passenger experience it often terrible, and this will not be eased by having a second runway,

The problems persist due to the greed of the employers, not paying enough, not having enough staff, not having any contingency in the system for incidents and staff shortages. It will be even worse if they are allowed to double the capacity of the airport. Not good for local people at all, not good for passengers not good for the staff of these employers. I'm not good for foreign visitors to the Uk.

Driving to Crawley for these sorts of jobs is not a good career prospect for people locally.

And the pressure on housing and congestion on traffic and overuse of rush-hour railway services is only going to be exacerbated five days.

One high tech, high quality, high, skilled well-paid jobs that can be done from home or very local to home.

The noise, pollution and light pollution that Gatwick already generates is Ruining local peoples health and cannot be allowed to increase in even worse extent. The discharge as well a bright red orange particulate discolouration on roads cars anything left outside and it's ruined, antique marble statue in garden furniture, a small point, but it does make you realise what is in the air after these aircraft have been overhead.

I do appreciate that you have tried to re-route things and control flights in order to minimise disruption to residents etc but , it's simply not the right thing to do to double capacity -please show integrity and the courage of your convictions. We deserve good leadership , saying no to the lobbyists.

Many thanks for running the consultation and for taking my comments into consideration.

Mrs Roselle Silvester  
Resident